PATENT

First Named Inventor: Andrew D. Wilson

Attorney Docket No.: 307269.01

Group Art Unit: 2876

Application No.: 10/814.577 Filed: Customer No.:

March 31, 2004 Confirmation Number: 6896 22971 Title: Identification of Object on Interactive Display Surface by Identifying Coded Pattern

Examiner: Kristy A. Haupt

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1460

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Dear Sir

Applicants acknowledge the allowance of claims 1-11 and 13-27 in the subject

application by the Examiner with appreciation. The Applicants agree with the Examiner's

Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that the claims of the present invention are patentable over the references in the record. The Applicants expressly traverse the Examiner's

Statement of Reasons for Allowance to the extent that any comment is intended or has the

effect of limiting a claim scope, explicitly or implicitly, by not reciting verbatim the respective

claim language, or is intended or has the effect of limiting a claim scope by stating or implying

that all the reasons for patentability are in any way fully enumerated.

Moreover, it appears that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance reiterates

the Examiner's arguments made during prosecution. By virtue of the Examiner's allowance of

the claims over the cited references and the associated arguments, it is believed that the

previous arguments made by the Examiner have been overcome. Additionally, Applicants

specifically do not acquiesce or agree in any manner as to the comments made by the Examiner

regarding what the prior does or does not teach. Indeed, Applicants have pointed out the errors in the comments/arguments in Applicants' Response to the Final Office Action.

The Applicants further point out that the reasons for allowance set forth by the

Examiner are not the only reasons that the claims are allowable. Further reasons for allowance

of the claims beyond those enumerated by the Examiner are described and set forth in the

Page 1 of 2

Microsoft Corporation Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance

MS: 307269.01

Applicants' specification. In addition, structures and methods that perform substantially the same function in substantially the same way to achieve substantially the same results are included within the scope of the claims.

Finally, as the Examiner's reasons for allowance are not exhaustive, such reasons for allowance do not establish an estoppel against Applicants seeking and obtaining allowance of additional, broader claims in a continuation application, which Applicants reserve the right to file.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 5, 2007
Microsoft Corporation
One Microsoft Way
Redmond WA 98052-6399

By: / James R. Banowsky /
James R. Banowsky, Attorney
Registration Number: 37,773
Direct telephone (425) 705-3539

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION (Under 37 CFR § 1.8(a)) or ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via

March 5, 2007	/Noemi Tovar/
Date	Noemi Tovar